



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,081	04/02/2001	L. Scott Rich	RSW9-2001-0074-US1	1696
7590		09/10/2007		
Mark D. Simpson				
Synnestvedt & Lechner				
2600 Aramark Tower				
1101 Market Street				
Philadelphia, PA 19107-2950				
			EXAMINER	
			RUTTEN, JAMES D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/825,081

Applicant(s)

RICH ET AL.

Examiner

J. Derek Rutten

Art Unit

2192

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to Applicant's submission filed 6/19/07, responding to the 3/20/07 Office action which detailed the rejection of claims 3-5. Claims 3-5 have been amended. Claims 3-5 remain pending in the application and have been fully considered by the examiner.

#### ***Response to Arguments/Amendments***

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, filed 6/19/07, with respect to the rejections of claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection is made in view of US Patent No. 6,182,092 to Francis et al. Note that Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art of record US Patent No. 5,907,703 to Kronenberg et al. (hereinafter "Kronenberg") in view of prior art of record US Patent No. 5,241,670 to Eastridge et al. (hereinafter "Eastridge"), further in view of US Patent No. 6,182,092 to Francis et al. (hereinafter "Francis").

Art Unit: 2192

In regard to claim 3, Kronenberg discloses:

*A method for returning files to a client of an enterprise application (column 7 line 34 – column 8 line 25), comprising the steps of:*

*requesting the loading of a file set comprising a list of one or more files each stored under a predetermined path and filename in said list; See column 2 lines 33-40:*

Turning next to FIGS. 3, 4, and 5 a preferred embodiment of a device driver program embodying the present invention begins by receiving a file system request from the operating system at step 301. The file system request contains information indicating the type of request to be performed, the identity of the file on which the operation is to be performed, and any other information needed to perform a successful operation.

*determining if the files listed in the requested file set are in an archive format, or a directory tree format; See column 2 lines 45-49:*

At step 302, the driver determines if the file system request is a request to enumerate the contents of a folder. If the answer at step 302 is affirmative, then at step 303, the driver checks to see if the enumeration points to an archive file.

*creating a loading strategy based on said determination, wherein said loading strategy is created based upon the format of the files; See column 2 lines 55-57:*

If the answer to step 303 is affirmative, then at step 304 the device driver creates, in RAM a virtual folder that represents the archive file.

*creating a virtual archive using the loading strategy, the virtual archive comprising a stored list of proxies enabling the files identified in the requested file set to be located; See column 2 lines 55-57:*

If the answer to step 303 is affirmative, then at step 304 the device driver creates, in RAM a virtual folder that represents the archive file.

Also see column 3 lines 4-8:

If the answer to step 305 is affirmative, then the contents of the virtual folder are revealed at step 306. By "revealing", it is meant that the contents are made available to the operating system. These contents are contents of the archive that the virtual folder represents.

Art Unit: 2192

*upon the execution of a save function, performing a deferred copying process on contents of all of said one or more files in said file set, and storing the contents to an archive on disk..., wherein the contents are retrieved by one of said loading strategies in said virtual archive.*

See column 4 lines 50-54:

then at step 509 the driver determines whether the operation is a **write operation**. If the answer is affirmative, then at step 514, the driver determines whether the file has been decompressed. If it has, at step 519 **the driver copies the write data to memory**.  
[emphasis added]

Note that the copying process is deferred until a “write” command is received. Further, see column 4 lines 5-7, e.g. “the driver updates the archive central directory and the files affected in the archive.”

Kronenberg does not expressly disclose copying *all of said one or more files in said file set*. However, Eastridge teaches that a full backup copies all the files in a file set. E.g. “FULL backup”, column 1 lines 45-48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Eastridge’s teaching of a full backup with Kronenberg’s deferred copying in order to present a consistent view of data within the dataset (see Eastridge column 1 lines 52-54).

Kronenberg and Eastridge does not expressly disclose: storing *in a file format specified by said user*. However, Francis teaches that file formats can be specified by said user. See column 21 lines 31-46, e.g. “Save As.” It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Eastridge’s teaching of format specifying with Kronenberg’s storage in order to provide a consistent set of archive files in a preferred format. Using the known technique of format

Art Unit: 2192

specifying with archive files to provide a preferred format would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.

In regard to claim 4, Kronenberg discloses a system (column 7 lines 3-33). All further limitations have been addressed and/or set forth in the above rejection of claim 3.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kronenberg and Eastridge in view of prior art of record US 6286051 B1 to Becker et al. (hereinafter "Becker"), in view of Francis.

In regard to claim 5, Kronenberg does not expressly disclose a computer program product. All further limitations have been addressed and/or set forth in the above rejection of claim 3. However, Becker teaches using a computer program product. See Fig. 4 elements 76 and 78 and column 6 lines 15-56. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Becker's computer program product with Kronenberg's program product in order to load the program for use in a workstation as suggested by Becker (column 4 lines 18-34).

### *Conclusion*

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Derek Rutten whose telephone number is (571)272-3703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Art Unit: 2192

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jdr



TUAN DAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER